## <u>T H E</u>

## NOTTINGHAM ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY

## BULLETIN

NO. 5

DECEMBER, 1946.

#### COMMENT

The December meeting was marked by another good attendance when 48 members and friends (only 2 short of the record September meeting) assembled to hear Mr. R. F. T. Granger's excellent summary of the pitfalls awaiting space travellers. It was intended to have a general discussion on space travel but Mr. Granger's remarks rather knocked the base of the discussion away by showing how difficult, if not impossible, space travelling would most likely be. In the face of such cool reasoning, it would be wrong to argue otherwise.

Your Secretary trusts that his talk on 'The Star of Bethlehem' was of some interest if it was a little incoherent and inconclusive in parts.

The favourable total eclipse of the Moon on December 8th, another rather rare and interesting spectacle which it was hoped would provide a pleasant attraction in the night sky, was itself 'eclipsed' by a heavy cloud layer! After a few days of clear frosty weather, the morning of December 8th dawned with an overcast sky. In the afternoon, towards the commencing time of the eclipse, rain fell and continued to fall throughout the night.

It was an ironic touch to see the Moon shining bright and dear the following evening at the eclipse time!

Such is the measure of exasperation awaiting all astonomers at some time or other!!

It is the more dismaying to recall that there will not be a total lunar eclipse visible in England during 1947.

As this is the last issue of 'The Bulletin' this year, it is timely to tender the best of wishes for happiness and good health during 1947 to all our members.

May you all have a very happy time at Christmas and in the New Year.

---000---

# CURRENT EVENTS IN THE SKY

The Julian Date for December 0 is 243 2155. For other dates add the date.

\_\_\_~~~~~

Solar activity is continuing and days without spots are uncommon. Solar Rotation No. 1247 began on November 27th, and No. 1248 begins on December 25th.

The Moon

Details were circulated in November of the total lunar eclipse on

December 8th, soon after sunset.

There is an occultation of the bright star Kappa Gemini on December 10th at 16h.llm.GMAT; re-appears 17h.10m.GMAT. There is a possible grazing occultation of Bode 24 Ceti (6th magnitude) on December 30th about 7h.10m.GMAT; a short occultation occurs at Edinburgh and at Greenwich the Moon passes just north of the Star.

Planets.

Uranus is now 'up' all night and can be seen retrograding fairly rapidly from the 'horns' of Taurus towards the Hyades, in the same binocular field as Zeta Tauri.

Saturn is well placed from about 10 p.m. onwards. A line projected down through Alpha and Beta Geminorum passes near to it, and its first magnitude brightness will identify it.

Jupiter and Venus can be seen in the South-East just before dawn,

both very bright and unmistakable.

Meteors.

The Geminid maximum on December 12/13th will be partly interfered with by a low moon. The Quadrantid shower on January 3rd will be badly affected by bright moonlight. Both showers produce many meteors at the maximum phase. These are the last showers of note until late April.

Algol

Minima at convenient times before midnight occur on December 24th at 11 hrs.GMAT; 27th - at 7 hrs. GMAT and 30th - at 4 hrs. GMAT. make a concerted effort to time the minimum on the 27th?

This star has suddenly increased several magnitudes to easy naked-eye visibility. It may not increase much more but the slow fade can be followed until the star is lost in the February twilight.

A. W. Lane Hall.

---000---

#### NEWS OF THE DAY

WAR DAMAGE - ROYAL OBSERVATORY, GREENWICH
The annual report of the Royal Observatory, Greenwich, to the Board of Visitors contains the news of some of the damage sustained by the Observatory during the war.

Flamsteed House, the original building, suffered bomb damage although it has now been repaired sufficiently for the Astronomer Royal to resume residence there.

The famous dome of the 28" refractor was badly damaged by blast and is beyond repair. It is not to be renewed owing to the impending move to Hurstmoncieux Castle.

The 28" telescope itself is to be dismantled and stored until it is possible to re-erect it on the new site.

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY OBSERVATORY

The University of Cambridge has decided that in future, the Professor of Astrophysics (Professor F.J.M. Stratton) will be Director of the University Observatory as well of the Solar Physics Observatory, Hitherto, the Plumian Professor of Astronomy and Experimental Philosophy, (to give the full title) was Director of the University Observatory.

A further item of news from Cambridge is that Professor Harold

Jeffreys, reader in geophysics at Cambridge, has been appointed to the Professorship of Plumian Astronomy, vacant since the death of Sir Arthur Eddington - one of our greatest astronomers.

A. J. Ashmore.

---000---

## RADAR AND METEORS

Further details are to hand regarding the use of radar for detecting the meteor trails of the Giacobini shower of October 9th last. Although the night was cloudy and disappointing for visual observers in England, the Radio Research Station of the Department of Scientific and Industrial Research at Slough, and the Army Operational Research Group at Richmond Park obtained good results by means of radar.

The meteor trails caused radar echoes of short duration which

were recorded photographically.

The use of radar for such purpose are due to the experiments of Sir Edward Appleton and Mr. R. Naismith, who noticed some curious radio echoes of a very short duration coming back occasionally from reflecting objects in the atmosphere about 60 miles above the ground. It was noted that these brief echoes were observed by day and by night so they could not be due to any solar phenomenon. Since then, evidence has been fairly conclusive that most of these radar echoes are caused by meteor

## THE STAR OF BETHLEHEM A. J. Ashmore.

Christmas time is here again and this year it is marked by a splendid display by the planet Venus, which as a morning star rising some three hours before the Sun, reaches greatest brilliancy on December 23rd.

In such circumstances, Jesus Christ may have been born and it is therefore an appropriate time to ask if the legend of the Star of Bethlehem guiding the wise men of the east to the infant Jesus is in fact based on the apparition of a known astonomical body.

Before we can decide whether this or that heavenly body can be identified with the Star of Bethlehem we have to establish the date of the event. In other words we need to know the date of the nativity,

and strangely enough, this is the first and greatest stumbling block.

If one asks a layman the date Jesus Christ was born, he would probably give a queer look and say 'Why! December 25th, 1946 years ago, of course. Unfortunately there is every reason to doubt this state-ment now for, as we shall see, Jesus was almost certainly born a few years before the date on which we base our present system of chronology.

The explanation offered for this apparent paradox is that our reckoning of years from the birth of Christ was not instituted until the 6th century afterwards, when Dionysius Exiguus - Abbot of Rome - calculated that the nativity took place in the 28th year of the reign of Augustus. However, it appears that he mistakenly reckoned from the date the Roman ruler assumed the name Augustus, and not from the time when he, under his original name of Octavius, won his victory over Antony and Cleopatra, which was 4 years earlier.

If this statement is true, Jesus was born in 4 B.C. and Exiguus was in error in actually reckoning our current year numbering system from the 32nd year of Augustus' reign instead of the 28th, as he supposed.

We now turn to what should be our unimpeachable authority on Christian matters - the Bible. The gospels of the New Testament appear to have been written in the latter half of the first century and do not, therefore, give quite the same attention to detail as a contemporary account.

Famous classic scholars and historians such as Livy, Virgil, Horace and Pliny the Younger lived about the time of Christ, but none of them so much as mention our Lord. In fact, no writers referred

directly to Christ until over 50 years afterwards.

We have, therefore, to rely entirely on the information given in the Gospels. St. Matthew and St. Mark make no mention of the nativity at all and St. Luke gives a rather brief narrative so we are obliged to turn to St. Matthew for the greater detail we require in this investigation.

Although none of the Gospels indicate the date of the nativity with any certainty, St. Matthew gives a good clue when he informs us that Jesus was born in the days of Herod the King.

Herod was the Roman appointed King of Judea and it is fairly well established that he died in the Spring of B.C.4. There was an account of an eclipse of the moon shortly before his death and it has been calculated that such an eclipse actually took place on the night 12/13 March, B.C.4., which helps to prove this case.

This also shows that Christ must have been born before the early

part of B.C.4, most probably at the end of B.C.5.

It is interesting to find that there is also a considerable divergence of opinion as to the month and day of the nativity despite our celebration of Christmas Day on December 25th. In this case, however, the determination of the precise day is less important than the year, and it is sufficient to accept the fact that it was winter.

So we are fairly safe in considering that Jesus Christ, the founder of a great religion embracing 700 million people of this Earth, was born at the end of B.C.5.

Now we delve into the facts of the Star of Bethlehem itself. Again, the source of our information lies in the gospel of St. Matthew only. St. Matthew's gospel informs us simply that 'wise men came from the east to Jerusalem to enquire where he that was born King of the Jews was to be found, as they had seen his star in the east and had come to worship him. Herod heard of these things and after enquiry as to what time the Magi had seen the star, sent them to Bethlehem to find the child. The Star they had seen in the east went before them and stood over where the child was.'

Just who the wise men were, from which country they came, or even how many there were is not known, although it is generally considered that they were three in number. It is most likely that in view of their observation of the 'Star' in itself, they were scholars, probably Chaldeans, whose astrology gave birth to our present-day science of astronomy. Since they came from the east and the star was seen in the east, the simple diurnal motion of the sky from east to west would suffice to give rise to the belief that the star was leading them. Their journey was presumably of some duration and it may be inferred that the star rising in the east and its nightly motion westwards would be their guide for a period of some weeks and it is understood they travelled by night and rested during the day.

The actual question of identifying the Star of Bethlehem now comes before us. It was obviously a conspicuous phenomenon and lasting for a fair period of time so we can limit our quest for likely objects to novae, comets and planets.

Taking novae first, historical records list several bright objects which may have been novae but it does not appear that any of them occured about the time of the nativity. The Star of Bethlehem may have been a nova but is unlikely, to say the least for some record would assuredly have been passed down to us by other writers of that time.

A comet? J. R. Hind, in the last century, made a careful and exhaustive investigation into comets of historical times and in his book entitled 'comets', he lists all known comets.

His records show a comet which appeared in A.D.66. but the last comet to appear before that was one which was seen 'over Rome' in 11 B.C. This is confirmed in Hutchinsons' remarkable book 'The Splendours of the Heavens', which lists the A.D.66 comet and the preceding comet as reaching perihelion on October 8th, B.C.12, obviously the same as that Hind gives for B.C.11.

The earlier comet is definitely  $\underline{\text{too}}$  early and the other much too late, so the possibility of identifying the  $\underline{\text{Star}}$  of Bethlehem with a comet must be also set aside.

Another reason why the comet theory can be safely ruled out is that such a phenonomenon as a bright comet complete with tail would have certainly caused a minor sensation and would surely have been far more widely known and commented upon by the superstitious people of that time.

Finally, we consider our friends and neighbours in space - the planets. As individual objects, we may dismiss Saturn and the outer planets, together with the minor planets, at once, as being inconspicuous and not all likely to attract special attention.

Mercury, the nearest planet to the Sun, may also be ruled out, as although quite bright on occasions, it is usually too close to the Sun and consequently involved in twilight to be as remarkable as the Star of Bethlehem must have been. There are many people who, even to-day, have never seen Mercury at all.

Venus is probably the most likely single heavenly body to have appeared to the wise men from the east, although the weak point is that Venus was well known in those days as a morning and evening star and although it was often regarded as two separate objects, the 'wise' men would have presumably been able to recognise the 'Star' as a known planet. As it was seen in the east, it must have been as a 'morning star' that Venus was seen, if we accept that planet as the 'Star of Bethlehem'. In such circumstances, she rises at her most favourable elongation about her so conspicuous as to cause speculation about the 'New star' even in these enlightened days!

Venus appears to have been a morning star during the period of October-November, B.C.5 so it is quite possible that Venus, which gives such a fine morning display this Christmas, may have been the 'Star of Bethlehem', although as we have already stated, the difficulty of wise men of those days failing to recognise a known planet still remains.

Mars and Jupiter are bright enough at opposition times to attract attention but the flaw in the Venus theory also applies here; would men of the desert, especially if they were Chaldeans, attach so deep a

meaning to the normal apparition of known heavenly objects? All the planets visible to the naked-eye have been known as such since the earlies historical times. Accordingly, we must answer in the negative, and pass to the only course remaining open, that the Star of Bethlehem was actually two, or three, bright planets in close conjunction!

We have seen how unlikely it was that the wise men undertook their

We have seen how unlikely it was that the wise men undertook their journey to the scene of the nativity on the appearance of a bright but familiar planet. A close conjunction of two planets, however, gives a different aspect to the story. Such an apparition would certainly have led to speculation on the possibility of its presaging a special event especially when we recall that the study of the stars in ancient times was chiefly undertaken for astrological purposes.

Kepler, the eminent astronomer, calculated that no fewer than 3 conjunctions of Jupiter and Saturn occurred in B.C.7, and that in B.C.6, there was a triple conjunction of Mars, Jupiter and Saturn, which would have appeared very significant to the people of those days.

The latter conjunction occurred in a part of the sky which was supposed by astrologers to be directly concerned with the fortunes of Judea, in which Bethlehem lies!

There was, however, another and even more prominent conjunction which occurred in B.C.6, on May 8th, that of Venus and Jupiter - the two brightest objects in the sky - after the Sun and Moon. Of all the possible objects, this dual appearance of Venus and Jupiter seems the most acceptable and the most likely.

Discussion of the identity of the 'Star of Bethlehem' at a meeting of the British Astronomical Association in 1892 led to the general belief being expressed in accordance with our opinion stated above - that it was actually a conjunction of Venus and Jupiter.

Earlier in this article, it was considered that Jesus Christ was born at the end of B.C.5., and we now believe that the 'Star of Bethlehem' can be identified with a phenomenon in May of B.C.6, which is 18 months earlier. We do not know how long the wise men travelled or whether they lingered for a time before commencing their journey and after seeing the 'Star'. The only difficult point to explain is that St. Matthew tells us that the 'Star' led them to Bethlehem after reporting to Jerusalem - a short six mile journey southwards. Since they arrived after the birth had occurred, the 'Star' must have still been conspicuous at the end of B.C.5. - our most likely date for the nativity.

In view of the very vague nature of the gospels and the lack of corroborative evidence regarding the nativity, it will be conceded that consideration must be given to the intermixture of legend with fact, so that the difficulty of agreeing the two dates - May, B.C. 6 and December, B.C.5 - need not upset our conclusions.

There we leave the question of the Star of Bethlehem and its identity. Though we are unable to make any final deductions, we can safely say that it was more likely to be a conjunction of two planets - Venus and Jupiter - than any other heavenly phenomenon, and that the birth of our Lord Jesus Christ took place between 6 and 4 B.C., most probably in the winter of B.C.5/4.

So with that rather vague but acceptable summary, we conclude our efforts to identify 'The Star of Bethlehem.'

---000---

#### GLOSSARY

### ABSOLUTE MAGNITUDE

The intrinsic brightness, or luminosity, of stellar bodies, The scale is that degree of magnitude shown when the stars are reduced to a common distance of 10 parsecs.

#### APPARENT MAGNITUDE

The apparent degree of brilliancy of the heavenly bodies. The scale is such that a star of magnitude 1.0 appears 2.512 times brighter than a star of magnitude 2.0 et seq. The limit of naked-eye visibility is magnitude 6.0, which is equivalent to a hundred times less light than

Objects brighter than magnitude 0.0 are indicated by a negative magnitude, e.g. Venus (on most favourable occasions) magnitude - 4.4.

## NOTES AND ANNOUNCEMENTS.

#### NEW MEMBER

A sincere welcome is extended to Mr. D. Turgoose, who was elected on December 5th, 1946.

#### TALKS BY MEMBERS

An invitation is extended to members, with or without qualifications, to give any type of talk at the meetings, provided it has general appeal. The Secretary will be pleased to discuss the matter with any member who has some news or information to impart.

## OBSERVING SECTION

The Committee are to discuss a proposal for the formation of an observing section at the January meeting. It is hoped to encourage all members who can and will take part in active observing work, however small their contribution, to join the proposed section. The section will have the assistance and guidance of our more experienced and seasoned members.

Further and fuller details will be available after the matter is discussed next month.

## NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be held in the Mechanics Institute, Burton Street, Not tingham, on Thursday, 2nd January, 1947, at 7.30.p.m.

The president, Mr A. K. Bennett, is to give a special talk on 'The Calendar' and Mr. Lane Hall will be present to describe the 'Night Sky in January.'

Members are invited to bring their friends along. There will be no obligation entailed.

NOTTINGHAM

llth. Dec. 1946.